

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,131	WEYANT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(1) Tara L. Mayo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert McCutcheon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2004

**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*1, 6 and 28*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Weber (U.S. Patent No. 5,435,669A); Fox (U.S. Patent No. 5,765,970A); Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 5,285,612A); and Rainey (U.S. Patent No. 6,168,351B1)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested claims 1 and 6 be amended to positively recite the combination of the wale, the tieback rod and the retaining wall, and claim 28 amended to include the limitations of one of claims 29 and 30. Applicants' representative stated he would contact the Examiner by close of business 14 October 2004 with proposed claim language. On 15 October 2004, the Examiner attempted to contact Applicants' representative by telephone and left a voicemail message. To date (18 October 2004), Applicants' representative has not contacted the Examiner.